

REVIEW

Article's title: _____

section _____

- Article's type: Original theoretical study
 Original experimental study
 Review material

QUESTIONS		Yes	No	See the comment
1. Does the article correspond to the subject matter of the publication?				
2. Does the article correspond to the section?				
3. Does the title of the article reflect its contents clearly and sufficiently?				
4. Are annotations and keywords informative?				
5. Are the provided illustrations and tables expedient?				
TOPICALITY <input type="checkbox"/> Outdated <input type="checkbox"/> Need to be clarified <input type="checkbox"/> Actual <input type="checkbox"/> Declarative and not confirmed by statistical data	TABLES <input type="checkbox"/> Some tables need to be deleted or merged <input type="checkbox"/> Some tables are too large <input type="checkbox"/> There are no links to tables in the text <input type="checkbox"/> The name of the table needs to be clarified <input type="checkbox"/> Some columns are superfluous <input type="checkbox"/> Units of measure are absent <input type="checkbox"/> No comments	GENERAL COMMENTS <input type="checkbox"/> Actual topic <input type="checkbox"/> A new idea <input type="checkbox"/> Novelty is available <input type="checkbox"/> grammatical errors are available <input type="checkbox"/> The topic is narrowed <input type="checkbox"/> Data is not sufficiently analyzed <input type="checkbox"/> Known facts are repeated <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism <input type="checkbox"/> The experimental approach is incorrect <input type="checkbox"/> No comments		
MATERIALS AND METHODS <input type="checkbox"/> Methods are inappropriate <input type="checkbox"/> Methods are absent <input type="checkbox"/> No statistics <input type="checkbox"/> No comments	PICTURES <input type="checkbox"/> Inaccurate <input type="checkbox"/> Not described in the text <input type="checkbox"/> Photos are not clear <input type="checkbox"/> Pictures are not enough <input type="checkbox"/> No comments			
CONCLUSIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Absent <input type="checkbox"/> Don't correspond to the contents <input type="checkbox"/> Not substantiated <input type="checkbox"/> Declarative <input type="checkbox"/> No comments	PRESENTATION OF THE MATERIAL <input type="checkbox"/> Not enough <input type="checkbox"/> There is no research <input type="checkbox"/> Material is review <input type="checkbox"/> No comments	RECOMMENDATIONS <input type="checkbox"/> Recommend to print <input type="checkbox"/> Do not recommend to print <input type="checkbox"/> Revision with re-review <input type="checkbox"/> Recommend to print with comments		
RESULTS OF THE STUDY <input type="checkbox"/> The results are not objective <input type="checkbox"/> There is no statistically significant information <input type="checkbox"/> A lot of unnecessary details <input type="checkbox"/> Errors in the interpretation of data <input type="checkbox"/> No comments	LIST OF REFERENCES <input type="checkbox"/> Invalid links <input type="checkbox"/> No links in the text <input type="checkbox"/> Not enough links <input type="checkbox"/> No comments <input type="checkbox"/> Excessive citation by one author	CONCLUSION <input type="checkbox"/> The article is suitable for publication without revision <input type="checkbox"/> The article is suitable for publication with minor corrections <input type="checkbox"/> After taking into account comments it is expedient to re-review <input type="checkbox"/> Article is not allowed for publication		

Additional comments by the reviewer and / or suggestions for improving the article to increase the value of the article for the domestic and international scientific community and producers:

Full name _____

(signature)